


Attorney Docket: 060258-0276663  
Client Reference: 2990235US/Sml/art



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the PATENT APPLICATION of:  
EINOLA ET AL.

Confirmation Number: 7538

Application No.: 09/762,051

Group Art Unit: 2683

Filed: June 20, 2001

Examiner: DAGOSTA, STEPHEN M.

Title: ARRANGING AUTHENTICATION AND CIPHERING IN MOBILE  
COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

\* \* \* \* \*

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated August 2, 2005, the date for response to which is November 2, 2005, please reconsider the patentability of the pending claims based on the following remarks.

By this Response, no claims are amended, added, or canceled. Accordingly, claims 1-2, 4-6 and 8-10 remain pending in the patent application.

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's indication that claims 2-11 and 14-18 are allowed.

Claims 19-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) based on Nessett *et al.* (U.S. Pat. No. 6,766,453) (hereinafter "Nessett") in view of Obayashi *et al.* (U.S. Pat. No. 5,564,077) (hereinafter "Obayashi") and Shuster *et al.* (U.S. Pat. No. 6,857,072) (hereinafter "Shuster"). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection because Nessett and Shuster are not prior art and Obayashi fails to disclose, teach or suggest all the features recited by the rejected claims.

Applicants note that the priority date of the present application is June 4, 1999. A copy of a certified English translation of the Finnish priority document 991283 is enclosed herewith. By contrast, the filing date of Nessett is April 28, 2000 and the filing date of